

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/725,931	12/03/2003	Chiyoko Matsumi	MTS-3583US	4481	
52473 RATNERPRE	7590 07/17/2007 STIA		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 980			DANG, HUNG Q		
VALLEY FOI	RGE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		Application No.					
	Office Action Summer	10/725,931	MATSUMI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hung Q. Dang	2621				
۔ Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESCRIPTION OF THE MAILING DESCRI	ATE OF THIS COMMUN (36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC (c), cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed WITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 E</u>	ecember 2003.	•				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		action is non-final.					
3) 🗌 🤃	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is				
(closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 📙 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2003</u> is/a	are: a)□ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
l	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
:	Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No				
;	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	n received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.				
		•					
Attachment(1 \	of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗀	Summery (PTO 442)				
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :06/15/2004, 05/10/2006, 09/11/2006.

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements filed 06/15/2004 and 05/10/2006 fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered because the designated numbers cannot locate the documents.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 7 recites "A program". However, it appears that such would reasonably be interpreted by one of ordinary skill in the art as software, per se. This subject matter is not limited to that which falls within a statutory category of invention because it is not limited to a process, machine, manufacture, or a composition of matter. Software does not fall within a statutory category since it is clearly not a series of steps or acts to constitute a process, not a mechanical device or combination of mechanical devices to constitute a machine, not a tangible physical article or object which is some form of matter to be a product and constitute a manufacture, and not a composition of two or more substances to constitute a composition of matter.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows.

Claim 8 recites "a record medium" embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "when functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed control information can range form paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person. The examiner suggests amending the claim to embody the program on

Art Unit: 2621

"computer-readable medium storing a computer program ..." or equivalent in order to make the claim statutory. Any amendment to the claim would be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ando et al. (US Patent 6,341,196).

Regarding claim 1, Ando et al. disclose a recording and reproducing system comprising (column 4, lines 59-67): a record medium holding a data file of storing predetermined data (column 22, lines 8-14); data file holding position information file recording means of recording data file holding position information on a position at which said data file is held in a data file holding position information file held on said record medium of storing said data file holding position information (column 22, line 8 – column 23, line 10; Figs. 18; Figs. 19; Fig. 13A; Fig. 14; column 18, lines 9-15); and data reproducing means of reproducing the predetermined data stored by said data file by using said data file holding position information which is recorded (column 19, lines 18-54).

Application/Control Number: 10/725,931

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 2, Ando et al. also disclose said record medium holds a plurality of said data files (Figs. 18; Figs. 19; column 22, line 8 – column 23, line 10), and said data file holding position information file stores all the data file holding position information on the positions at which said plurality of data files are held respectively (Fig. 13A).

Regarding claim 3, Ando et al. also disclose said data file further stores parameter information used for reproducing said predetermined data (column 17, lines 16-30); parameter information recording means of recording said parameter information in a parameter information file held on said record medium of storing said parameter information is further provided (column 17, lines 16-30); and said data reproducing means reproduces the predetermined data stored by said data file by further using said parameter information which is recorded (column 19, lines 18-54).

Regarding claim 4, Ando et al. also disclose said plurality of said data files are given unique data file IDs by using order in which said parameter information file stores said parameter information respectively (Fig. 13A; column 18, lines 1-9).

Regarding claim 5, Ando et al. also disclose said data file holding position information on the positions at which said respective data files are held is recorded in said data file holding position information file by using said unique data file Ids which are given (column 18, lines 1-15; Fig. 13A).

Claim 6 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 1 above.

Claim 7 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 1 above.

Claim 8 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Q. Dang whose telephone number is 571-270-1116. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th:7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hung Dang
Patent Examiner

